


David Grusch

May 31, 2022

Zoom Meeting

- **Senior Intelligence Officer for the National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA).**
- **He is the lead for unidentified aerial phenomena (UAP) in the NGA.**
- **Happy to link us up with US Embassy staff. There is a Lt. Commander that has put him in contact with the Royal Canadian Air Force RCAF and “other folks”.**
- 
- **Congress is preparing more prescriptive and aggressive legislation in 2023 to direct the Pentagon and Intelligence Community to study UAP.**
- **The US government has taken UAP very seriously for several decades and has not been fully transparent, even with US intelligence agencies.**

MP Maguire asked the following questions, and this is a summary of the verbal answers given by David Grusch during the Zoom meeting.

1. **It's clear Congress is now fully aware of the reality of UAP. Is there a schedule of how you prefer information gets released to the public to slowly acclimatize the issue?**

These strategic decisions are not at his level. With some of the pilot videos that will be declassified, releasing them will be a good way to acclimatize the public.



They have 80+ years of stigma to reduce and circumvent. Lots of governments, including the US, have not been forthcoming with their data.


He believes there are Canadian holdings, as he's heard anecdotal information the RCMP has received UAP data, and they had an “office” until the early 1990s. He doesn't know the size, scope, or scale of Canadian efforts but mentioned Wilbur Smith, a former Transport Canada employee, and Project Magnet, which was active in the 1950s.

2. Have either American military or intelligence officials reached out to their Canadian counterparts on their plans to investigate UAP?

There have been very limited interactions to date. The US National Intelligence Manager for aviation, under the office of the Director of National Intelligence, had a series of classified meetings with himself and the Royal Canadian Air Force (RCAF) from Ottawa about 3 months ago.

At his level, he has not seen the Canadians come to the table to offer an official working group. Nor has the RCAF asked for an official information exchange where we send them the data, they analyze it, and they give it back to the RCAF or an intelligence agency. The RCAF has not extended an "olive branch."

3. Has either US Space Command or NORAD shared evidence or investigative techniques with our imbedded Canadian personnel?





4. How involved is NORAD into the efforts of collecting information on UAP?

[REDACTED] The only NORAD interactions he is aware of are the conversations he's had with them. [REDACTED]

NORAD said the next time they "see stuff" they will capture the data and send it to a radar squadron for analysis and then to the NGA. [REDACTED]

5. **Do you believe that it's the Canadian Security Intelligence Service (CSIS) or the Communications Security Establishment (CSE) that has the lead in Canada on this issue? Or do you believe it's the Canadian Armed Forces or another department all together?**


He heard anecdotally there were certain US historical activities with Canada. 




6. **Will there be an 'official' ask from American officials to various Canadian departments to collaborate on efforts to determine the origin and intent of UAP?**




He mentioned that in the legislation that passed in Congress in December 2021 there was a specific section on "material, recovery, and exploitation." That sounds like "holy crap" but that section of the legislation is out there for public consumption. That's what Senators Gillibrand and Rubio want them to study. But we won't be able to figure out the "absolute truth" by only using external collection (infrared cameras, sensors, radars, etc).

Just like an intelligence problem, the necessity for physical material and evidence are needed to analyze and identify UAP. 



He mentioned he is open minded, a physicist by degree, and an intelligence officer. He has no problem if it turns out UAP are from non-human origin.

- 7. So we don't reinvent the wheel in Canada, will the new UAP office be willing to share their Science Plan with Canadian departments?**




The "Tic Tac" case from the USS Nimitz cannot be explained in any way except that the US Navy encountered a "non-human intelligently controlled craft". Doesn't know any other solution that closes with high probability. The UAP was not spoofing the pilots and was seen on multiple sensors.

Top officials are going to have to open their minds to this possibility. A lot of them have religious belief systems or personal bubbles and don't want to deal with the problem as they don't want their worldview flipped if UAP are confirmed as non-human intelligence.

- 8. I have been asking questions to the Canadian Nuclear Safety Commission about open source UAP incidents near nuclear facilities. What is the working hypothesis for why there seems to be more UAP sightings near nuclear facilities?**

This has been an issue since the 1950s and 60s. He has talked to many researchers and they have not determined the nexus. He imagines there are a lot of unreported UAP activity near Canadian nuclear facilities. This could be a good collaborative activity between our two countries.



- 9. If a Parliamentary Committee starts a study into UAP, do you have any recommendations on who in the Canadian government should be invited to testify because they possess relevant information or have worked on this issue in the past?**

Former Transport Canada employee, Wilbur Smith, a senior radio engineer for Transport Canada, who passed away decades ago, went to the US and met with American officials and was given information on an “artifact”.

A lot of the people in the US that have been working on UAP research and want to appear at a Congressional hearing are requesting immunity so they can break their non-disclosure agreements.

These people believe they are beholden to classified compartmented activities they have worked on over the years and cannot tell Congress because they think they swore an oath not to. They also worry the activities they were part of could potentially have violated some sections of the US code.

They are working on providing that immunity so they feel comfortable there will be no reprisals or retribution. He imagines if there were Canadians involved in any of these activities, they will want the same thing.

He recommends Canada creates a UAP group like what the Americans are doing. He said we will need historians, archivists, and people reaching out to the various Canadian departments and agencies to collect the data and evidence. He is currently working with an NGA historian


He said he will dig to see what Canadians could potentially appear at a committee as he doesn't make it a habit to research allies. He will task an NGA historian to look through their information to see if there is anything relating to Canada that can point us in the right direction.

He said that up until around 1993, the RCMP collected UAP information from across Canada. He doesn't know what their record retention is like. He also suggested Transport Canada will possess historical UAP information.

10. In terms of classifying UAP either by shape, size, speed, and other capabilities, does the evidence suggest we are dealing with multiple types of technologies, including different origins?


A navy commander near Norfolk, Virginia personally witnessed a 300-foot-long triangle craft fly over his head.

He said as a physicist, and having even smarter PhD physicist friends, if we look at the five observables (anti-gravity lift, sudden and instantaneous acceleration, hypersonic velocities without signatures, low observability/cloaking, trans-medium travel)



11. Is there a way to track UAP other than through radar?

Radar picks them up on occasion. The good news is electronically steered arrays can detect them because they are able to do multi object tracking better than the old mechanical scan arrays.





12. What assets or information from the Canadian government would be helpful in determining origin and intent of UAP?

[Redacted] Like how US Navy and Airforce pilots are reporting UAP incidents, who are saving their sensor data and posting it on a site set up on the classified side.

Getting that process established and seeing Canadian data would help them paint a picture of where incidents are happening so we could deploy more sensitive collection. They could task US assets, with Canadian permission, to collect information on certain sites.

13. Without having physical evidence to analyze, how can we ever determine origin?

That's the goal. Passive collection will only get us so far. We will never be able to understand "who is piloting UAP" or better understand the technology. We need physical evidence with a documented chain of custody to analyze it to determine origin. We could look at if the "atoms are aligned" or if the "isotopes are all different" or could not have been manufactured here.

14. What practical steps could our government's take to determine intent?

